

# Supersymmetric continuous spin gauge theory

Mojtaba Najafizadeh

School of physics  
Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

27<sup>th</sup> IPM Physics Spring Conference

June 25, 2020

Tehran

Based on:

M. Najafizadeh, “ Supersymmetric Continuous Spin Gauge Theory ”

JHEP **03**, 027 (2020) [arXiv:1912.12310 [hep-th]]

# Outline:

- Supersymmetry (SUSY)
- Continuous Spin Particle (CSP)
- Continuous spin gauge field theory
- SUSY CSP

convention:  $p^2 = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = 1$ ,  $d = 4$

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

- a symmetry between fermions and bosons
- SUSY particles have not yet been observed
- zero-point energy
- supercharge  $Q$ :  $Q| \text{boson} \rangle = | \text{fermion} \rangle$     $Q| \text{fermion} \rangle = | \text{boson} \rangle$
- supermultiplet:  $( \text{boson} , \text{fermion} )$   
where  $\# \text{ bosonic d.o.f} = \# \text{ fermionic d.o.f}$

$( 0 , \frac{1}{2} ) \longrightarrow$  chiral multiplet (Wess-Zumino, 1974)

$( 1 , \frac{1}{2} ) \longrightarrow$  gauge multiplet

$( 2 , \frac{3}{2} ) \longrightarrow$  gravity multiplet

$\vdots$

$( s , s + \frac{1}{2} ) \longrightarrow$  half-integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

$( s + \frac{1}{2} , s + 1 ) \longrightarrow$  integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

- a symmetry between fermions and bosons
- SUSY particles have not yet been observed
- zero-point energy
- supercharge  $Q$ :  $Q| \text{boson} \rangle = | \text{fermion} \rangle$     $Q| \text{fermion} \rangle = | \text{boson} \rangle$
- supermultiplet: ( boson , fermion )  
where # bosonic d.o.f = # fermionic d.o.f

$(0, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  chiral multiplet (Wess-Zumino, 1974)

$(1, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gauge multiplet

$(2, \frac{3}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gravity multiplet

$\vdots$

$(s, s + \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  half-integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

$(s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1) \longrightarrow$  integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

- a symmetry between fermions and bosons
- SUSY particles have not yet been observed
- zero-point energy
- supercharge  $Q$ :  $Q| \text{boson} \rangle = | \text{fermion} \rangle$      $Q| \text{fermion} \rangle = | \text{boson} \rangle$
- supermultiplet: ( boson , fermion )  
where # bosonic d.o.f = # fermionic d.o.f

$(0, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  chiral multiplet (Wess-Zumino, 1974)

$(1, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gauge multiplet

$(2, \frac{3}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gravity multiplet

$\vdots$

$(s, s + \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  half-integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

$(s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1) \longrightarrow$  integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

- a symmetry between fermions and bosons
- SUSY particles have not yet been observed
- zero-point energy
- supercharge  $Q$ :  $Q | \text{boson} \rangle = | \text{fermion} \rangle$     $Q | \text{fermion} \rangle = | \text{boson} \rangle$
- supermultiplet: ( boson , fermion )  
where # bosonic d.o.f = # fermionic d.o.f

$(0, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  chiral multiplet (Wess-Zumino, 1974)

$(1, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gauge multiplet

$(2, \frac{3}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gravity multiplet

$\vdots$

$(s, s + \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  half-integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

$(s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1) \longrightarrow$  integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)



# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

- a symmetry between fermions and bosons
- SUSY particles have not yet been observed
- zero-point energy
- supercharge  $Q$ :  $Q | \text{boson} \rangle = | \text{fermion} \rangle$     $Q | \text{fermion} \rangle = | \text{boson} \rangle$
- supermultiplet: ( boson , fermion )  
where # bosonic d.o.f = # fermionic d.o.f

$(0, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  chiral multiplet (Wess-Zumino, 1974)

$(1, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gauge multiplet

$(2, \frac{3}{2}) \longrightarrow$  gravity multiplet

$\vdots$

$(s, s + \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow$  half-integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

$(s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1) \longrightarrow$  integer spin multiplet (Curtright, 1979)

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

To supersymmetrize a theory, given by a sum of bosonic and fermionic actions

$$S_{SUSY} = S_b[\phi] + S_f[\psi]$$

one should find “**SUSY transformations**” :  $\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}(\cdots)\psi \\ \delta\psi = (\cdots)\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$  such that

• 1) leave invariant the SUSY action, i.e.  $\delta S_{SUSY} = 0$

• 2) satisfy the “**SUSY algebra**”, i.e.  $\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\phi \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\psi \end{cases}$

$\epsilon$  is called “**SUSY parameter**” so as if  $\begin{cases} \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) = 0 & \text{global SUSY } \checkmark \\ \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) \neq 0 & \text{local SUSY} \end{cases}$

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

To supersymmetrize a theory, given by a sum of bosonic and fermionic actions

$$S_{SUSY} = S_b[\phi] + S_f[\psi]$$

one should find “**SUSY transformations**” :  $\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}(\dots)\psi \\ \delta\psi = (\dots)\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$  such that

• 1) leave invariant the SUSY action, i.e.  $\delta S_{SUSY} = 0$

• 2) satisfy the “**SUSY algebra**”, i.e.  $\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\phi \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\psi \end{cases}$

$\epsilon$  is called “**SUSY parameter**” so as if  $\begin{cases} \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) = 0 & \text{global SUSY } \checkmark \\ \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) \neq 0 & \text{local SUSY} \end{cases}$

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

To supersymmetrize a theory, given by a sum of bosonic and fermionic actions

$$S_{SUSY} = S_b[\phi] + S_f[\psi]$$

one should find “**SUSY transformations**” :  $\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}(\dots)\psi \\ \delta\psi = (\dots)\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$  such that

• 1) leave invariant the SUSY action, i.e.  $\delta S_{SUSY} = 0$

• 2) satisfy the “**SUSY algebra**”, i.e.  $\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\phi \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\psi \end{cases}$

$\epsilon$  is called “**SUSY parameter**” so as if  $\begin{cases} \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) = 0 & \text{global SUSY } \checkmark \\ \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) \neq 0 & \text{local SUSY} \end{cases}$

# Supersymmetry (SUSY)

To supersymmetrize a theory, given by a sum of bosonic and fermionic actions

$$S_{SUSY} = S_b[\phi] + S_f[\psi]$$

one should find “**SUSY transformations**” :  $\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}(\dots)\psi \\ \delta\psi = (\dots)\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$  such that

• 1) leave invariant the SUSY action, i.e.  $\delta S_{SUSY} = 0$

• 2) satisfy the “**SUSY algebra**”, i.e.  $\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\phi \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1)\psi \end{cases}$

$\epsilon$  is called “**SUSY parameter**” so as if  $\begin{cases} \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) = 0 & \text{global SUSY } \checkmark \\ \partial_\mu \epsilon(x) \neq 0 & \text{local SUSY} \end{cases}$

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Naively, consider action principles of a **real scalar** and **Majorana spinor** fields

$$S = S_b + S_f = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

One can show that the above action is invariant under transformations

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $\delta S = 0$ .

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Naively, consider action principles of a **real scalar** and **Majorana spinor** fields

$$S = S_b + S_f = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

One can show that the above action is invariant under transformations

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases}$$

i.e.  $\delta S = 0$ .

# Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Checking the SUSY algebra:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \delta_2\phi = \bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ \delta_1\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\delta_2\phi &= \delta_1\bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2\delta_1\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2(-i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1) = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_1\not{\partial}\epsilon_2)\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = \dots \neq -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad ???!$$



# Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Checking the SUSY algebra:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \delta_2\phi = \bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ \delta_1\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\delta_2\phi &= \delta_1\bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2\delta_1\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2(-i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1) = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_1\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_2)\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = \dots \neq -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad ???!$$

# Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Checking the SUSY algebra:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \delta_2\phi = \bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ \delta_1\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\delta_2\phi &= \delta_1\bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2\delta_1\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2(-i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1) = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_1\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_2)\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = \dots \neq -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad ???!$$

# Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

Checking the SUSY algebra:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \delta_2\phi = \bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ \delta_1\psi = -i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1\delta_2\phi &= \delta_1\bar{\epsilon}_2\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2\delta_1\psi \\ &= \bar{\epsilon}_2(-i\cancel{\partial}\phi\epsilon_1) = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \end{aligned}$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1 - \bar{\epsilon}_1\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_2)\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark$$

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi = \dots \neq -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\cancel{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad ???!$$

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, \tilde{0}; 1/2)$ :

$$S_{SUSY} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi})(\partial^\mu \tilde{\phi}) + \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial})\psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\tilde{\phi} = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^5\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon - \gamma^5\not{\partial}\tilde{\phi}\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\tilde{\phi} = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\tilde{\phi} \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi \approx -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad \checkmark \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\approx$  denotes the equation of motion ( $i\not{\partial}\psi = 0$ ) is used (on-shell supersymmetry)

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, \tilde{0}; 1/2)$ :

$$S_{SUSY} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi})(\partial^\mu \tilde{\phi}) + \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial})\psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\tilde{\phi} = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^5\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon - \gamma^5\not{\partial}\tilde{\phi}\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\tilde{\phi} = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\tilde{\phi} & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi \approx -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi & \checkmark \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\approx$  denotes the equation of motion ( $i\not{\partial}\psi = 0$ ) is used (on-shell supersymmetry)

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, \tilde{0}; 1/2)$ :

$$S_{SUSY} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi})(\partial^\mu \tilde{\phi}) + \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial})\psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\tilde{\phi} = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^5\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon - \gamma^5\not{\partial}\tilde{\phi}\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\tilde{\phi} = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\tilde{\phi} & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi \approx -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi & \checkmark \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\approx$  denotes the equation of motion ( $i\not{\partial}\psi = 0$ ) is used (on-shell supersymmetry)

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, \tilde{0}; 1/2)$ :

$$S_{SUSY} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{\phi})(\partial^\mu \tilde{\phi}) + \bar{\psi}(i\not{\partial})\psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta\phi = \bar{\epsilon}\psi \\ \delta\tilde{\phi} = i\bar{\epsilon}\gamma^5\psi \\ \delta\psi = -i\not{\partial}\phi\epsilon - \gamma^5\not{\partial}\tilde{\phi}\epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} [\delta_1, \delta_2]\phi & = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\phi \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\tilde{\phi} & = -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\tilde{\phi} \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2]\psi & \approx -2i(\bar{\epsilon}_2\not{\partial}\epsilon_1)\psi \quad \checkmark \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\approx$  denotes the equation of motion ( $i\not{\partial}\psi = 0$ ) is used (on-shell supersymmetry)

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

By defining the complex scalar field

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi - i\tilde{\phi}) \quad \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i\tilde{\phi})$$

the SUSY action may be written

$$S_{SUSY} = \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger)(\partial^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) \psi \\ \delta\psi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \not{\partial} [(1 - \gamma^5) \Phi + (1 + \gamma^5) \Phi^\dagger] \epsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \psi \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \psi & \checkmark \end{cases}$$



## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

By defining the complex scalar field

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi - i\tilde{\phi}) \quad \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i\tilde{\phi})$$

the SUSY action may be written

$$S_{SUSY} = \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger)(\partial^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) \psi \\ \delta\psi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \not{\partial} [(1 - \gamma^5)\Phi + (1 + \gamma^5)\Phi^\dagger] \epsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \psi \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \psi & \checkmark \end{cases}$$

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

By defining the complex scalar field

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi - i\tilde{\phi}) \quad \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i\tilde{\phi})$$

the SUSY action may be written

$$S_{SUSY} = \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger)(\partial^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) \psi \\ \delta\psi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \not{\partial} [(1 - \gamma^5) \Phi + (1 + \gamma^5) \Phi^\dagger] \epsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \psi \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \psi & \checkmark \end{cases}$$

## Example: chiral multiplet $(0, 1/2)$ :

By defining the complex scalar field

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi - i\tilde{\phi}) \quad \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i\tilde{\phi})$$

the SUSY action may be written

$$S_{SUSY} = \int d^4x \left[ (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger)(\partial^\mu \Phi) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (i\not{\partial}) \psi \right]$$

$$\text{SUSY transformations} \quad \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) \psi \\ \delta\psi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \not{\partial} [(1 - \gamma^5) \Phi + (1 + \gamma^5) \Phi^\dagger] \epsilon \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra} \quad \begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi & \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \psi \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \psi & \checkmark \end{cases}$$

# Continuous Spin Particle (CSP)

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 8 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 8 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 8 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 5 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is



# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 8 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 5 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 5 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 5 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \cdots$$

- 5 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin particle (CSP)

## CSP

- 1 is a **massless** elementary particle introduced by Wigner (1939)
- 2 is a unitary irreducible representation (UIRs) of the Poincaré group
- 3 characterizes by “continuous spin parameter”  $\mu$  (with the dimension of a mass)
- 4 representation decomposes into a direct sum of all helicity reps., at  $\mu = 0$
- 5 has infinite degrees of freedom per space-time point
- 6 wave equations were introduced by Wigner
- 7 has two types of representation; bosonic & fermionic

Bosonic CSP field:

$$\Phi(x, \eta) = \Phi(x) + \eta^\mu \Phi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Phi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \dots$$

Fermionic CSP field:

$$\Psi(x, \eta) = \Psi(x) + \eta^\mu \Psi_\mu(x) + \frac{1}{2!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{3!} \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \eta^\rho \Psi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) \dots$$

- 8 states are NOT boost invariant (unlike the helicity states) while single-CSP is

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014)      unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015)      unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016)      constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017)      constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- $\vdots$
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

{	2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
	2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
	2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )



# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014)      unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015)      unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016)      constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017)      constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- ⋮
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

{	2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
	2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
	2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014) unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015) unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016) constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017) constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- ⋮
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014)      unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015)      unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016)      constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017)      constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- ⋮
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

{	2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
	2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
	2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014)      unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015)      unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016)      constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017)      constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- $\vdots$
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

{	2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
	2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
	2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )

# Continuous spin gauge field theory

Historically, constructing a covariant action principle for CSP has been a mystery for decades, however, about 75 years after Wigner's classification

- The first bosonic CSP action (Schuster & Toro, 2014)      unconstrained ✓
- The first fermionic CSP action (Bekaert et al, 2015)      unconstrained ✓
- Constrained bosonic CSP action (Metsaev, 2016)      constrained ✓
- Constrained fermionic CSP action (Metsaev, 2017)      constrained ✓
- Frame-like formalism (Zinoviev & Khabarov, 2017; ...)
- BRST approach (Metsaev, 2018; Buchbinder, 2018; ...)
- $\vdots$
- SUSY CSP (on-shell) 

{	2017	Zinoviev	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=3$ )
	2019	Buchbinder et al.	in frame-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )
	2019	MN	in metric-like ( $N=1, d=4$ )

# Supersymmetric continuous spin gauge theory (SUSY CSP)

- In all SUSY theories,  $(\# \text{ bosonic d.o.f}) = (\# \text{ fermionic d.o.f})$
- However, a bosonic or fermionic CSP has infinite number of d.o.f
- hence, in a CSP supermultiplet there would be four possibilities:

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ CSP supermultiplet} \Rightarrow \left( \text{CSP} , \text{CSPino} \right)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \checkmark$$

- In all SUSY theories,  $(\# \text{ bosonic d.o.f}) = (\# \text{ fermionic d.o.f})$
- However, a bosonic or fermionic CSP has infinite number of d.o.f
- hence, in a CSP supermultiplet there would be four possibilities:

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ CSP supermultiplet} \Rightarrow \left( \text{CSP} , \text{CSPino} \right)$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \checkmark$$



- In all SUSY theories,  $(\# \text{ bosonic d.o.f}) = (\# \text{ fermionic d.o.f})$
- However, a bosonic or fermionic CSP has infinite number of d.o.f
- hence, in a CSP supermultiplet there would be four possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ CSP supermultiplet} &\Rightarrow \left( \text{CSP} \quad , \quad \text{CSPino} \right) \\ &\Downarrow \\ &\left( \text{real CSP} \quad , \quad \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times \\ &\left( \text{complex CSP} \quad , \quad \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times \\ &\left( \text{real CSP} \quad , \quad \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \times \\ &\left( \text{complex CSP} \quad , \quad \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

- In all SUSY theories,  $(\# \text{ bosonic d.o.f}) = (\# \text{ fermionic d.o.f})$
- However, a bosonic or fermionic CSP has infinite number of d.o.f
- hence, in a CSP supermultiplet there would be four possibilities:

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 \text{ CSP supermultiplet} \Rightarrow \left( \text{CSP} , \text{CSPino} \right)$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Majorana CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{real CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \times$$

$$\left( \text{complex CSP} , \text{Dirac CSP} \right) \checkmark$$

# SUSY CSP: unconstrained formalism

Unconstrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x d^4\eta \left[ \Phi^\dagger(x, \eta) \mathbf{B} \Phi(x, \eta) + \bar{\Psi}(x, \eta) \mathbf{F} \Psi(x, \eta) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ -\square + (\eta \cdot \partial_x)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) - \frac{1}{2}(\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu)^2 \right] \\ \mathbf{F} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ (\not{\eta} + i) \not{\partial} - (\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] \end{cases}$$

SUSY trans.

$$\begin{cases} \delta \Phi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) (\not{\eta} - i) \Psi(x, \eta) \\ \delta \Psi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \not{\partial} - \frac{1}{2} (\not{\eta} + i) (\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] (1 - \gamma^5) \epsilon \Phi(x, \eta) \end{cases}$$

SUSY algebra

$$\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi(x, \eta) = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi(x, \eta) \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Psi(x, \eta) \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Psi(x, \eta) + \text{gauge trans.} \quad \checkmark \end{cases}$$

# SUSY CSP: unconstrained formalism

Unconstrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x d^4\eta \left[ \Phi^\dagger(x, \eta) \mathbf{B} \Phi(x, \eta) + \bar{\Psi}(x, \eta) \mathbf{F} \Psi(x, \eta) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ -\square + (\eta \cdot \partial_x)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) - \frac{1}{2}(\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu)^2 \right] \\ \mathbf{F} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ (\not{\eta} + i) \not{\partial} - (\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] \end{cases}$$

SUSY trans.

$$\begin{cases} \delta \Phi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) (\not{\eta} - i) \Psi(x, \eta) \\ \delta \Psi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \not{\partial} - \frac{1}{2} (\not{\eta} + i) (\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] (1 - \gamma^5) \epsilon \Phi(x, \eta) \end{cases}$$

SUSY algebra

$$\begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi(x, \eta) = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi(x, \eta) \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Psi(x, \eta) \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Psi(x, \eta) + \text{gauge trans.} \quad \checkmark \end{cases}$$

# SUSY CSP: unconstrained formalism

Unconstrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x d^4\eta \left[ \Phi^\dagger(x, \eta) \mathbf{B} \Phi(x, \eta) + \bar{\Psi}(x, \eta) \mathbf{F} \Psi(x, \eta) \right]$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ -\square + (\eta \cdot \partial_x)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) - \frac{1}{2}(\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu)^2 \right] \\ \mathbf{F} = \delta'(\eta^2 + 1) \left[ (\not{\eta} + i) \not{\partial} - (\eta^2 + 1)(\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY trans. } \begin{cases} \delta \Phi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\epsilon} (1 + \gamma^5) (\not{\eta} - i) \Psi(x, \eta) \\ \delta \Psi(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \not{\partial} - \frac{1}{2} (\not{\eta} + i) (\partial_\eta \cdot \partial_x + \mu) \right] (1 - \gamma^5) \epsilon \Phi(x, \eta) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY algebra } \begin{cases} [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Phi(x, \eta) = -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Phi(x, \eta) \quad \checkmark \\ [\delta_1, \delta_2] \Psi(x, \eta) \approx -2i (\bar{\epsilon}_2 \not{\partial} \epsilon_1) \Psi(x, \eta) + \text{gauge trans.} \quad \checkmark \end{cases}$$

# SUSY CSP: constrained formalism

Constrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x \left[ \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{B} \Phi + \bar{\Psi} \mathbf{F} \Psi \right]$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = -\square + 5 \text{ terms} + \mu(6 \text{ terms}) + \mu^2(4 \text{ terms}) \\ \mathbf{F} = i\cancel{\partial} + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(7 \text{ terms}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY trans. } \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \bar{\epsilon} \left( \frac{1+\gamma^5}{\sqrt{2}} + 1 \text{ term} \right) \Psi \\ \delta\Psi = \left( -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cancel{\partial}(1-\gamma^5) + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(5 \text{ term}) \right) \epsilon \Phi \end{cases}$$

At  $\mu = 0$ , CSP supermultiplet decomposes into

$$\left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( s, s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1 \right)$$

# SUSY CSP: constrained formalism

Constrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x \left[ \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{B} \Phi + \bar{\Psi} \mathbf{F} \Psi \right]$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = -\square + 5 \text{ terms} + \mu(6 \text{ terms}) + \mu^2(4 \text{ terms}) \\ \mathbf{F} = i\cancel{\partial} + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(7 \text{ terms}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY trans. } \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \bar{\epsilon} \left( \frac{1+\gamma^5}{\sqrt{2}} + 1 \text{ term} \right) \Psi \\ \delta\Psi = \left( -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cancel{\partial}(1-\gamma^5) + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(5 \text{ term}) \right) \epsilon \Phi \end{cases}$$

At  $\mu = 0$ , CSP supermultiplet decomposes into

$$\left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( s, s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1 \right)$$

# SUSY CSP: constrained formalism

Constrained formalism of the SUSY CSP action is given by

$$S_{CSP}^{SUSY} = S_{CSP}^b + S_{CSP}^f = \int d^4x \left[ \Phi^\dagger \mathbf{B} \Phi + \bar{\Psi} \mathbf{F} \Psi \right]$$

$$\text{where } \begin{cases} \mathbf{B} = -\square + 5 \text{ terms} + \mu(6 \text{ terms}) + \mu^2(4 \text{ terms}) \\ \mathbf{F} = i\cancel{\partial} + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(7 \text{ terms}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{SUSY trans. } \begin{cases} \delta\Phi = \bar{\epsilon} \left( \frac{1+\gamma^5}{\sqrt{2}} + 1 \text{ term} \right) \Psi \\ \delta\Psi = \left( -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cancel{\partial}(1-\gamma^5) + 6 \text{ terms} + \mu(5 \text{ term}) \right) \epsilon \Phi \end{cases}$$

At  $\mu = 0$ , CSP supermultiplet decomposes into

$$\left( 0, \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left( s, s + \frac{1}{2} \right) \oplus \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left( s + \frac{1}{2}, s + 1 \right)$$



# Current work & Open problems

## Current work at IPM

- Supersymmetric unconstrained higher spin gauge theory in  $\text{AdS}_4$   
(arXiv: 20xx.xxxxx)

## Open problems

- group-theoretical meaning of CSP in AdS
- investigation of CSP in condensed matter systems
- AdS/CFT correspondence for CSP
- Chern-Simons form of CSP
- ...

Thank you for your attention!

# Current work & Open problems

## Current work at IPM

- Supersymmetric unconstrained higher spin gauge theory in  $\text{AdS}_4$   
(arXiv: 20xx.xxxxx)

## Open problems

- group-theoretical meaning of CSP in AdS
- investigation of CSP in condensed matter systems
- AdS/CFT correspondence for CSP
- Chern-Simons form of CSP
- ...

Thank you for your attention!

# Current work & Open problems

## Current work at IPM

- Supersymmetric unconstrained higher spin gauge theory in  $AdS_4$  (arXiv: 20xx.xxxxx)

## Open problems

- group-theoretical meaning of CSP in AdS
- investigation of CSP in condensed matter systems
- AdS/CFT correspondence for CSP
- Chern-Simons form of CSP
- ...

**Thank you for your attention!**