

Metal-based Nanocomposites: Tribological Behavior Analysis through Atomistic Simulations

Abbas Montazeri Hedesh (a\_montazeri@kntu.ac.ir)

Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, K.N. Toosi University of Technology & School of Nano-Science, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

27th IPM Physics Spring Conference \_ June 24, 2020

## **Classification** of Engineering Materials



## What is **composite**?

Composite materials are engineered materials made from two or more constituents that remain separate and distinct while forming a single component.



If the second phase is in the nanometer scale i.e. <100nm we will have a nanocomposite!

## Why Metal Matrix Nanocomposites (MMNCs)?



Metal Matrix NanoComposites (MMNCs) in comparison with conventional metals

## **Applications** of **MMNCs**



4/22

## **Types** of **MMNCs**



# In materials science, a dislocation is a crystallographic defect or irregularity within a crystalline structure.



In the series of diagrams, movement of the dislocation allows **plastic** deformation to occur under a lower stress than in a perfect lattice.

**Aluminum** wear properties

**Platelet** or **particulate** nanofiller? Which type is **more effective**? What are the underlying **mechanisms**?

## The role of nanofiller geometry on the tribological behavior of aluminum-based nanocomposites



In miniaturized systems, surface-dependent forces like adhesion and friction can no longer be neglected because of the large surface area-to-volume ratio of structures.

It is important to study tribological properties of nanodevices by different methods like scratch and indentation.

Scratching of a surface is a process where a hard tip is indented into the surface and then moved parallel to it excavating a scratch groove.

This method is well suited to test the normal as well as the lateral mechanical response of the substrate.

As the nanoscale scratching involves only the removal of a few atoms or layers of atoms, MD simulation is undoubtedly a great tool to use.



There is a little knowledge about the effect of different nanoreinforcements on the tribological characteristics of nanodevices.

It has been demonstrated that using hard reinforcements like Silicon carbide and Graphene improves the mechanical properties of aluminum-based composites.

Do these reinforcements enhance the wear properties of Al matrix as well? Which one is more effective?

To this end, Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation was utilized to compute the friction coefficient of Al-based NCs embedded with Graphene platelets and Silicon carbide NPs.

Additionally, by analysis of the type of dislocations and their motion, the underlying mechanisms have been thoroughly investigated.

## Literature Review

#### Friction coefficient of different metals



 High amount of COF for Aluminum relative to other metals

 Constant increase of the total dislocation length in FCC metals during scratch

I.A. Alhafez, C.J. Ruestes and H. M. Urbassek, Size of the Plastic Zone Produced by Nanoscratching, Tribology Letters, vol. 66, p. 20, 2018.

#### **Process of Simulation**

✤ All MD simulations were performed using the open-source LAMMPS code.

The basic MD cells were created in two steps:

- The matrix of nanocomposite consists of Al atoms were initially created using the built-in tools in "LAMMPS" guided by the specific metal lattice parameters.
- ✓ At a later stage, central holes was included to accommodate the Graphene sheet and silicon carbide nano-particles.

The size of the Aluminum samples was 20×22.5×20 nm in the x, y, & z directions respectively.





#### **Geometrical characteristics**



50 Å



20 Å



An armchair Graphene with the dimensions of  $160*160 \dot{A}$  was embedded in the AI matrix.



#### **Process of Simulation**

**Repulsive** indenter was implemented to scratch the aluminum matrix.

The tip has a spherical shape with a radius of R = 5 nm. It interacts in a purely repulsive way with the substrate atoms according to the following law:

$$F(r) = \begin{cases} -k(r-R)^2 & \text{if } r < R\\ 0 & \text{if } r > R \end{cases}$$

r is the distance between a substrate atom to the center of the indenter, and  $k = 10 \frac{ev}{\dot{A}^3}$  is a constant.



#### **Details** of MD Simulation

- $\checkmark$  The time step selected was I fs for all of the samples.
- $\checkmark$  Simulations were conducted in a canonical ensemble (NVT) at a constant temperature of T = 300 K.
- $\checkmark$  The tip velocity for the scratch process was chosen as 20 m/s.
- ✓ Initial velocities were sampled from a Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution at the given temperature.
- $\checkmark$  To control the temperature, the Nose'-Hoover thermostat was implemented.
- $\checkmark$  In each simulation, before applying the scratch, the MD systems were equilibrated for 40 ps.
- The box size has been chosen sufficiently large to contain the plastic zone within the system and to prevent dislocations to reach the boundaries of the simulation box.

### Validation of the Model

**Pure Aluminum under scratch:** 0.25 0.20 Force (µN) 0.15 0.10 0.05 **Normal Force Frictional Force** 0.00 20 60 80 100 140 40 120 160 0 Displacement (Å) Force-Displacement curve of the sample These results are in good agreement with the data sample  $F_{\chi}(\mu N)$  $F_{\nu}(\mu N)$ published by Urbassek et al. They reported 0.61 Aluminum 0.110 0.179 0.61 for the friction coefficient of pure Al.

I.A. Alhafez, C.J. Ruestes and H. M. Urbassek, Size of the Plastic Zone Produced by Nanoscratching, Tribology Letters, vol. 66, p. 20, 2018.

#### Scratch forces and COF for different samples

D



Force-Displacement curves of the samples

#### Friction coefficient for the introduced samples

Sample	A1	A1/SiC	Al/Graphene
COF	0.61	0.55	0.68

#### Scratch forces for the different samples



#### Average of frictional and normal forces during scratch

S. Mohammadi, A. Montazeri, H. M. Urbassek, Wear (2020)

#### **Interface:** Different behavior due to stress concentration



### Stress concentration in SiC/Al interface motivates the dislocation nucleation &

complex interactions between them.

#### **Dislocations** and **SiC** NPs: **DXA**-based proposed mechanism



SiC acts as an obstacle for dislocations movement



This phenomenon causes this area to be strengthened, which is called as Orowan strengthening.

Dislocations accumulate near the interface of SiC particles forming a special zone as a dislocation source producing a large number of new dislocations under indenter.

S. Mohammadi, A. Montazeri, H. M. Urbassek, Wear (2020)

#### **Dislocations** and **Graphene** platelet: Towards the governing mechanism



Smooth movement of dislocations on the graphene interface

Increase in the dislocation density ahead of indenter tip

S. Mohammadi, A. Montazeri, H. M. Urbassek, Wear (2020)

More plastic deformation in front of the indenter  $\frac{F_x}{F_y}$ 

#### Samples after scratch



## Summary &

## **Concluding Remarks**

In the present work, MD was employed to compute the friction coefficient of Aluminum nanocomposites embedded with SiC and Graphene during scratching test.

It was shown that SiC NPs reduce friction coefficient, while Graphene platelets increase it relative to the pure aluminium.

The dislocation-based mechanisms were also thoroughly studied.

The tribological behavior of MMNCSs is highly dependent on the geometrical characteristics of the nanofillers.

# **Thanks for Your Attention**